- 2. Suchman (1987), in *Plans and Situated Actions*: (The Problem of Human-Machine Communication), p. 58, writes: "The efficiency of language is due to the fact that, on the one hand, expressions have assigned to them conventional meanings which hold on any occasion of their use. The significance of a linguistic expression on some actual occasion, on the other hand, lies in its relationship to circumstances that are presupposed or indicated by, but not actually captured in the expression itself. Language takes its significance from the embedding world, in other words, even while it transforms the world into something that can be thought of and talked about." Heritage (1984, p. 143) offers as an example the indexical expression "that's a nice one," pointing out that the significance of the descriptor "nice" has a different meaning if it refers to a photograph or to a head of lettuce.
- 3. Schön 1994 has used the term repertoire in describing architectural design practice. Here I relate the idea of a repertoire to mechanical engineering learning. I pay particular attention to how the repertoire grows as a student implements a new piece of hardware in a context and in so doing learns about its conditions and limits of use.

References

- Brereton, MF 1998. The role of hardware in learning engineering fundamentals. PhD dissertation, Stanford University.
- Brereton, MF, DM Cannon, A Mabogunje, and L Leifer 1996. Collaboration in engineering design teams: How social interaction shapes the product. In Analyzing design activity, edited by N Cross, H Christiaans, and K Dorst. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, pp 319–341.
- Brereton, MF and LJ Leifer 1997. The role of hardware, context and noise in learning engineering fundamentals. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Engineering Design Volume 2. Edited by A Riitahuhta. Tampere, Finland, pp. 591–596.
- Brown, JS, A Collins, and P Duguid 1989. Situated cognition and the culture of learning. Educational Researcher 18(1):32-42.
- Chaiklin, S and J Lave 1993. Understanding practice: Perspectives on activity and context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dourish, P 2001. Where the action is: The foundations of embodied interaction. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Goodwin, C 1995. Seeing in depth. Social Studies of Science 25:237-74.
- Harrison, S and S Minneman 1996. A bike in hand: A study of 3D objects in design. In Analyzing design activity, edited by N Cross, H Christiaans, and K Dorst. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, pp 417–436.
- Heritage, J 1984. Garfinkel and ethnomethodology. Cambridge, NY: Polity Press.
- Hutchins, E 1995. Cognition in the wild. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Jordan, B and A Henderson 1995. Interaction analysis: Foundations and practice. The Journal of the Learning Sciences 4(1):39–103.
- Macann, C 1993. Four phenomenological philosophers. London: Routledge.
- Miller, CM 1995. So can you build one? Learning through designing: Connecting theory with hardware in engineering education. PhD thesis, MIT.
- Moll, LC 1990. Vygotsky and education. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pierce, C 1933. Collected papers, Vol 2. Edited by C Hartshorne and P Weiss. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Schön, DA 1983. The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action. New York: Basic Books.
- 1994. Designing as a reflective conversation with the materials of a design situation. In Research in Engineering Design. Volume 3. New York: Springer Verlag, pp 131–147.
- 1990. The design process. In Varieties of thinking, edited by VA Howard. London: Routledge, pp 100–141.
- Schutz, A 1932. The phenomenology of the social world. Evanston: Northwestern University Press.
- Suchman, L 1987. Plans and situated actions: The problem of human machine communication. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

This page intentionally left blank